## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

MAURICE GLADYS,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
VS.	) Case No. 2:10-cv-02694-RBP-PWG
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	) )

## **ORDER**

The magistrate judge filed a report and recommendation on July 11, 2012, recommending that defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted as to plaintiff's Eighth Amendment excessive force claim against Defendant Johnson and that the claim be dismissed. (Doc. 32.) It was further recommended that defendants' motion for summary judgment be denied as to plaintiff's Eighth Amendment excessive force claim against Defendant Pickens. The parties were allowed fifteen (15) days in which to file written objections to the magistrate judge's recommendations. No objections have been filed by any party.

Having carefully reviewed and considered *de novo* all the materials in the court file, including the report and recommendation, the Court is of the opinion that the

magistrate judge's report is due to be and is hereby ADOPTED and the magistrate

judge's recommendation is ACCEPTED. The Court EXPRESSLY FINDS that there

are no genuine issues of material fact with regard to plaintiff's claim of excessive

force against Defendant Johnson and that Johnson is entitled to judgment as a matter

of law. Defendants' motion for summary judgment is therefore due to be and the

same is hereby GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART as follows: The

motion is GRANTED as to plaintiff's Eighth Amendment excessive force claim

against Defendant Johnson and the claim is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. The

motion is DENIED as to plaintiff's Eighth Amendment excessive force claim against

Defendant Pickens.

Defendant Pickens is hereby ORDERED to file an answer within twenty (20)

days of the entry date of this order.

DONE and ORDERED this the 13th day of August, 2012.

ROBERT B. PROPST

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2